UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/789,861	02/27/2004	James M. Campos	ROWE/03A	8466
	7590 05/21/2007 ON & EVANS, LLP		EXAMINER	
2700 CAREW TOWER 441 VINE STREET			SCHAETZLE, KENNEDY	
CINCINNATI,			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3766	
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/21/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			Ç				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/789,861	CAMPOS	•				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Kennedy Schaetzle	3766	ļ				
The MAILING DATE of this communication	ation appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addre	ess				
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- nication. tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON II, by statute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this comminant of the comminant					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>23 February 2007</u> .		l				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)[☐ This action is non-final.		•				
3) Since this application is in condition for	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice	e under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the ap	plication.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	withdrawn from consideration.	,	•				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 8-14</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 8-14</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>7</u> is/are objected to.	•						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to b	by the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-	-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	r foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
 Certified copies of the priority do 	ocuments have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received in A	pplication No					
	the priority documents have been	received in this National Sta	age				
• •	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the certified copies not	received.					
Attachment(s)			,				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	l				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 	/	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/789,861

Art Unit: 3766

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 6, 8-10 and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Guasco (Pat. No. 1,558,351).

Performance of the Guasco method inherently develops strength in a muscle since muscle is being activated in the writing process. As disclosed and claimed, the applicant considers a writing instrument to constitute an acceptable movable instrument for developing muscle strength.

3. Claims 8, 11, 12 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mills (Pat. No. 4,930,785).

The examiner considers the vibration means (motor, eccentric weight, etc.) of Mills to constitute a stimulator. While the intended use of developing muscle strength was considered, such functional limitations fail to saliently distinguish over the golf club apparatus of Mills which is also capable of developing muscle strength.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Poulton (Pat. No. 5,702,323).

Poulton discloses a method of developing strength in a muscle including applying electrostimulation to muscles in order to enhance exercise. Poulton discloses that

Application/Control Number: 10/789,861

Art Unit: 3766

many different exercises may be incorporated into the method, including arm wrestling (note col. 11, lines 6-31) which involves gripping an opponent's hand (or machine "hand"). In such a system, it would have been considered blatantly obvious to include an electrode on the element gripped by the exerciser in order to enable electrostimulation of the user's hand to emulate the actual sensations of an arm wrestling event, as well as feedback of patient generated forces to the machine in order to control operation.

Regarding the specific applications discussed in claims 2-5, Poulton clearly intends his invention to apply to a wide variety of exercises and activities including virtual baseball (col. 5, lines 27-30). The extension of the invention to other forms of sports or entertainment activities such as golf, tennis and hockey would have been considered obvious since these are typical activities engaged in by individuals and clearly within the realm of the Poulton invention which covers sports in general. To provide an electrode on the grip of a golf club, tennis racquet, baseball bat, hockey stick, etc., in order to emulate the forces experienced by a participant when striking a ball or puck via electromuscular stimulation such as discussed by Poulton, would have been considered obvious to those desiring to provide the user with a realistic experience.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claim 7 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 3766

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kennedy Schaetzle whose telephone number is 571 272-4954. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9:30 -6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Layno can be reached on M-F at 571 272-4949. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

KJS May 13, 2007

PRIMARY EXAMINER